WHAT EVERY ATTORNEY SHOULD KNOW ABOUT LAND USE LAW

1. THE VESTED RIGHTS RULE:

- A. An applicant is entitled to approval of a land use application if it conforms to the applicable zoning laws in place on the date a complete application is submitted.
 - i. UTAH CODE ANN. §§ 10-9a-509(1)(b) (Cities); 17-27a-508(1)(b) (Counties)
 - ii. Western Land Equities v. Logan, 617 P.2d 388 (Utah 1980).
 - 1. After a complete application is filed, zoning ordinances cannot be changed.
 - 2. An application is entitled to consideration under the zoning laws in place when the application is filed.
- iii. Exceptions:
 - 1. Pending Ordinance Change
 - 2. Compelling, Countervailing Interest

2. EXACTIONS:

- A. A government-mandated contribution of property imposed as a condition of development approval.
 - i. UTAH CODE ANN. §§ 10-9a-508; 17-27a-507
 - ii. B.A.M. Development, LLC v. Salt Lake County, 2006 UT 2
 - iii. B.A.M. Development, LLC v. Salt Lake County, 2008 UT 74
 - iv. B.A.M. Development, LLC v. Salt Lake County 2012 UT 26
- B. Each exaction must be roughly proportional to the impact created by the new development.
 - i. There must be an essential link to a governmental interest
 - ii. The exaction must be proportionate in *nature* to the impact of the development
 - 1. The impact on public services is the "problem"
 - 2. The exaction is the "solution" to the problem
- iii. The cost of the exaction must also be roughly equal to the expense needed to address the impact on public services.

3. IMPACT FEES:

- **A.** Fees charged on new development, to ensure that the development pay a fair share of the costs for public infrastructure.
 - i. UTAH CODE ANN. Ch. 11-36a
 - ii. Impact Fees are a type of exaction
- B. Impact fees are calculated using a complex analysis of a community's current service and anticipated growth.

4. CONDITIONAL USES

- A. Uses with unique characteristics or impacts that may be approved with conditions to mitigate the impacts
 - i. Utah Code Ann. §§ 10-9a-507; 17-27a-506
 - ii. Wadsworth v. West Jordan, 2000 UT App 49
 - iii. *Uintah Mountain RTC v. Duchesne County*, 2005 UT App 565
- B. Conditional uses may be identified in a zoning ordinance.
 - i. The ordinance should also identify standards to guide decisions on the type and extent of the conditions
 - ii. Decisions on conditional uses must be based on substantial evidence, and not "public clamor."
- iii. A conditional use application may only be denied if the negative impacts cannot be mitigated by reasonable conditions
- C. A conditional use is not an excuse to "re-legislate" a zoning ordinance, or an opportunity to deny an application because it is unpopular.

5. APPEALS OF LAND USE DECISIONS:

- A. Land use decisions may be appealed, but the statutory procedures must be followed.
 - i. UTAH CODE ANN. §§ 10-9a-701 to 708; 17-27a-701 to 708
 - ii. Check local ordinances
- B. Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies
 - i. All administrative hearings must be held before an appeal may be taken to district court, failure to do so may forfeit the appeal.

- ii. The time frame for most appeals is very short (a little as 10 days).
- C. Preservation of the Administrative Record:
 - i. Most appeals to district court are limited to the record of the administrative proceedings.
 - ii. No new evidence may be presented, and new arguments will not be considered by the district court.

6. NONCONFORMING USES:

- A. A use (or building) that was lawful when established, but is prohibited because of an ordinance change.
 - i. UTAH CODE ANN. §§ 10-9a-511; 17-27a-510.
 - ii. Vial v. Provo, 2009 UT App 122
- B. A nonconforming use may be continued, unless it is abandoned or voluntarily discontinued.
 - i. A use which was not lawful when established cannot qualify for nonconforming status
 - ii. Nonconforming uses may be amortized, by allowing the owner a reasonable time to recover any investment in the use.

7. VARIANCES:

- A. A modification in a zoning ordinance which is needed so that property owner may enjoy a the use of property
- B. Setbacks, height requirements, landscaping rules are examples of regulations that can be varied.
- C. Variance not allowed for:
 - i. Prohibited Uses
 - ii. If hardship is economic or self-imposed.
- D. Criteria for a variance: (UTAH CODE ANN. §§ 10-9a-702; 17-27a-702)
 - i. Literal enforcement would create unreasonable hardship
 - ii. Special circumstances attached to the property
 - iii. Variance essential to enjoy a substantial property right
 - iv. Variance not contrary to the public interest, and general plan not affected
 - v. Spirit of the zoning ordinance observed
- E. All five criteria must be considered, and found in favor of the variance.
- F. Save Our Canyons v. Salt Lake County, 2005 UT App 285

8. DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENTS:

- A. An agreement between a developer and a local government governing development of property
- B. Useful tool, particularly for large-scale projects
 - i. Must be drafted carefully—Avoid promising zoning changes
 - ii. Could be used to confirm exchanges between the parties (i.e., density bonuses)

9. REFERENDA AND INITIATIVES:

- A. REFERENDUM: Citizens may petition to have any legislative act put to a public vote for repeal or ratification (a type of appeal)
- B. INITIATIVE: Citizens may also initiate legislative changes through a petition and public vote.
- C. Because zoning changes are legislative, both are subject to voter referenda or initiatives.

10. TAKINGS AND EMINENT DOMAIN:

- A. Eminent domain is the authority of a local government to compel the sale of property, for just compensation.
 - i. UTAH CODE ANN. §§ 78B-6-501 to -522
 - ii. Eminent Domain must follow specific procedural rules and prerequisites.
 - 1. Agency must first negotiate with owner, and attempt to reach an agreement.
 - 2. Owner must be notified of legal rights.
- B. A taking is any substantial interference with a property right, so that compensation ought to be paid.
 - i. Regulations which cause substantial interference with property rights may be takings.
 - 1. Requiring physical occupation of property
 - 2. Destroying all economic value of the property
 - 3. Other significant interference which arises to a "virtual" taking of property for a public use.

Your Friendly Neighborhood Ombudsman

Advise and educate on takings and land use issues.

Mediate disputes concerning property takings.

Office of the Property Rights Ombudsman

www.propertyrights.utah.gov

160 E. 300 South, 2nd Floor

PO Box 146702

Salt Lake City, Utah 84114

Email: Propertyrights@utah.gov

801-530-6391

1-877-882-4662

Fax: (801) 530-6338